

REMARKS

Applicants' Attorney thanks Examiner Sue Weaver for the courtesy of the interview held on June 2, 2005. This amendment includes the changes discussed at the interview and is believed to place the instant case in condition for allowance.

Thus, the title has been amended to render same more specific.

In addition, new Claims 21 – 34 have been substituted for the original claims. New generic Claim 21 includes the following features.

- The top wall (16) has been specifically recited as clearly shown.
- Two openings (118a and 118b) have been specifically recited on the top wall.
- The sidewall is specified to include at least three inwardly depressed channels spaced from each other, each including a wavy configuration.
- One of the label panels is on the top wall between the two openings and one on the sidewall.

Applicants respectfully submit that the new generic claim is specifically defined and distinguishes over the art, fairly read.

Dependent Claims 22 – 34 generally follow previous dependent claims and are believed to also and particularly define patentably over the art.

The combination of two label panels, two top openings and at least three inwardly depressed channels, each at least in part having a wavy configuration, is believed to define an improved container with an unobvious combination of features. Moreover, the selective combination of a variety of references with a variety of different features is believed to emphasize the unobviousness of the herein claimed invention.

For example, Schoonover et al. does not show two top openings or wavy depressions. Cole is quite dissimilar from the amended claims, with only a single opening and no depressed channels. Soehnlen et al. shows only a single opening and no wavy channels. Boukobza shows only a single opening and no two label panels as defined. The PCT application WO 96/01212 discussed at the interview shows only a single opening and no two label panels as claimed.

Thus, it is courteously submitted that even in view of the numerous references cited and many references in this art there is no suggestion for Applicants' specifically defined features, which are highly advantageous.

SN 10/769,829
Case 04-200

Favorable reconsideration is courteously solicited.

The Examiner is urged to telephone the undersigned if any questions remain.

Respectfully submitted,

By 
Robert H. Bachman
Attorney for Applicant
Area code: 203
Telephone: 393-0400
Telefax: 393-0313

Date: June 6, 2005